UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,867	01/29/2004	Damon H. DeHart	ABB-126-A	4117
48980 YOUNG BASII	7590 06/21/201 LE		EXAMINER	
3001 WEST BI SUITE 624	G BEAVER ROAD	NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
TROY, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@youngbasile.com audit@youngbasile.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,867	DEHART, DAMON H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	TUAN V. NGUYEN	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o application, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	or on the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affidat Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the notes event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth bire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin ) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. of extension and the corresponding amoun the shortened statutory period for reply ori later than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in or filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any environment Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> <li>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	r consideration and/or search (see NC below); n better form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying t	
<ul> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)</li> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	(a)). 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Con(s):	ompliant Amendment (	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-10,32,63,65 and 66. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fi entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces</li> </ol>	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explan REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been con because:</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		·	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/T. V. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 373	1	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to the argument that Ehrlich/Humphrey fails to discloses the limitation of "hollowed a long a portion of its length". Claim 1 recites the "comprising" in the preamble of the claim. "comprising" indicates the claim is opened end. Further, noting that applicants fail to define the structure of the rest of the length of the lancet member. Examiner contends that Ehrlich/Humphrey discloses the limitation of "hollowed a long a portion of its length" because the gullet is considered partially hollowed when the needle of Ehrlich as modified by Humphrey is made from a solid needle (Humphrey suggests that the needle/lancet can be hollow or solid. As to the argument that the both Ehrlich and Humphrey fail to disclose a penetration distance that is less than a length of the sharpened tips. Ehrlich specifically discloses the point is designed to give a length of 1 to 3 mm, which is the penetration depth that provide the most abundant capillaries (Col. 2:7-10 and Col. 3:10-15) and limiting the length of the penetration point itself to about 1 to 3 mm (col. 3, lines 60-63) and suitable stop means. Ehrlich further discloses penetrate the point more than the length of the point will not increase blood flow (col. 4, lines 10-20). Based on the passages above, Examiner contends that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the depth of penetration should be about the same with the length of lancet point because it has been proven to be the effective depth of penetration.